

Modal Logic of Time Division

Tero Tulenheimo

Department of Philosophy
University of Helsinki
Finland

Advances in Modal Logic, 2008

Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Logic of Time Division
 - Syntax
 - Semantics
 - Basic examples
- 3 Expressive Power
 - Examples
 - Negative Properties
 - Positive Properties
- 4 Fragments

Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Logic of Time Division
 - Syntax
 - Semantics
 - Basic examples
- 3 Expressive Power
 - Examples
 - Negative Properties
 - Positive Properties
- 4 Fragments

von Wright's Approach to Tense Logic

- *Time, Change, and Contradiction* (1968).
- Change, duration.
- Focus on **intervals** and their **division**
(instead of **instants** and their **temporal succession**).
- Approach remained informal: no formal semantics.
 - Dalla Chiara (1975/1989).
 - vW's notion of negation two-ways ambiguous.

von Wright's Approach to Tense Logic

- *Time, Change, and Contradiction* (1968).
- Change, duration.
- Focus on **intervals** and their **division**
(instead of **instants** and their **temporal succession**).
- Approach remained informal: no formal semantics.
 - Dalla Chiara (1975/1989).
 - vW's notion of negation two-ways ambiguous.

Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Logic of Time Division
 - Syntax
 - Semantics
 - Basic examples
- 3 Expressive Power
 - Examples
 - Negative Properties
 - Positive Properties
- 4 Fragments

Syntax

- Syntactically, \mathcal{L}_{TD} is **ML** with an extra unary operator (\sim):

$$\phi ::= p \mid \neg\phi \mid \sim\phi \mid (\phi \vee \phi) \mid (\phi \wedge \phi) \mid \Diamond\phi \mid \Box\phi$$

- Formulas of the forms p , $\sim p$, $\neg p$, $\neg\sim p$ are *literals*.
- Evaluation relative to **intervals**, consisting of **instants**.
- $\neg\phi$ says ϕ does **not hold** at the current interval.
- $\sim\phi$ says ϕ **fails throughout** the current interval.
- $\Box\phi$ says the current interval is **divisible** into (finitely many) subintervals each of which makes ϕ true ("chop-star").

Syntax

- Syntactically, \mathcal{L}_{TD} is **ML** with an extra unary operator (\sim):

$$\phi ::= p \mid \neg\phi \mid \sim\phi \mid (\phi \vee \phi) \mid (\phi \wedge \phi) \mid \Diamond\phi \mid \Box\phi$$

- Formulas of the forms p , $\sim p$, $\neg p$, $\neg\sim p$ are *literals*.
- Evaluation relative to **intervals**, consisting of **instants**.
- $\neg\phi$ says ϕ does **not hold** at the current interval.
- $\sim\phi$ says ϕ **fails throughout** the current interval.
- $\Box\phi$ says the current interval is **divisible** into (finitely many) subintervals each of which makes ϕ true ("chop-star").

Syntax

- Syntactically, \mathcal{L}_{TD} is **ML** with an extra unary operator (\sim):

$$\phi ::= p \mid \neg\phi \mid \sim\phi \mid (\phi \vee \phi) \mid (\phi \wedge \phi) \mid \Diamond\phi \mid \Box\phi$$

- Formulas of the forms p , $\sim p$, $\neg p$, $\neg\sim p$ are *literals*.
- Evaluation relative to **intervals**, consisting of **instants**.
- $\neg\phi$ says ϕ does **not hold** at the current interval.
- $\sim\phi$ says ϕ **fails throughout** the current interval.
- $\Box\phi$ says the current interval is **divisible** into (finitely many) subintervals each of which makes ϕ true ("chop-star").

Occasions

- There are three kinds of **occasions** we consider:
 - intervals $]s, t]$ with $s < t$
 - singletons $\{t\}$
 - the empty occasion \emptyset

Left Bound, Interior Points

- Define the **left bound** of a non-empty occasion o :

$$l(o) := \begin{cases} \min(o) - 1 & \text{if } \min(o) - 1 \text{ exists} \\ \inf(o) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- If $o =]3, 4] \subset \mathbb{Q}$: $l(o) = \inf(o) = 3$
- If $o =]3, 4] \subset \mathbb{N}$: $l(o) = \min(o) - 1 = 3$
- If $o = \{4\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$: $l(o) = \inf(o) = 4$

- Interior points** of o :

$$\text{int}(o) := \begin{cases} \{t : l(o) < t < \max(o)\} & \text{if } o \text{ is not empty} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Left Bound, Interior Points

- Define the **left bound** of a non-empty occasion o :

$$l(o) := \begin{cases} \min(o) - 1 & \text{if } \min(o) - 1 \text{ exists} \\ \inf(o) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- If $o =]3, 4] \subset \mathbb{Q}$: $l(o) = \inf(o) = 3$
- If $o =]3, 4] \subset \mathbb{N}$: $l(o) = \min(o) - 1 = 3$
- If $o = \{4\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$: $l(o) = \inf(o) = 4$

- Interior points** of o :

$$\text{int}(o) := \begin{cases} \{t : l(o) < t < \max(o)\} & \text{if } o \text{ is not empty} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Divisions

- Suppose t_1, \dots, t_n are interior points of o , with $t_1 < \dots < t_n$.

The **division** of o by the points t_1, \dots, t_n is the partition

$$\langle]I(o), t_1],]t_1, t_2], \dots,]t_n, \max(o)] \rangle,$$

denoted $\mathbb{D}_o(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

The members of the partition are its *cells*.

Semantics

- **Models** $\mathcal{M} = (T, <, V)$ usual modal structures, where $<$ is **linear** (irreflexive, transitive, trichotomous).
- Evaluation on models \mathcal{M} at occasions o .

$\mathcal{M}, o \models p$	iff	$t \in V(p)$ for all $t \in o$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models \neg\psi$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, o \not\models \psi$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models \sim\psi$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, \{t\} \not\models \psi$ for all $t \in o$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models (\psi \wedge \chi)$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, o \models \psi$ and $\mathcal{M}, o \models \chi$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models (\psi \vee \chi)$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, o \models \psi$ or $\mathcal{M}, o \models \chi$

Semantics

- **Models** $\mathcal{M} = (T, <, V)$ usual modal structures, where $<$ is **linear** (irreflexive, transitive, trichotomous).
- Evaluation on models \mathcal{M} at occasions o .

$\mathcal{M}, o \models p$	iff	$t \in V(p)$ for all $t \in o$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models \neg\psi$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, o \not\models \psi$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models \sim\psi$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, \{t\} \not\models \psi$ for all $t \in o$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models (\psi \wedge \chi)$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, o \models \psi$ and $\mathcal{M}, o \models \chi$
$\mathcal{M}, o \models (\psi \vee \chi)$	iff	$\mathcal{M}, o \models \psi$ or $\mathcal{M}, o \models \chi$

Semantics, cont.

$\mathcal{M}, o \models \Box\psi$ iff for some $n > 0$ there are successive points t_1, \dots, t_n interior to o such that for every $o' \in \mathbb{D}_o(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, we have $\mathcal{M}, o' \models \psi$

$\mathcal{M}, o \models \Diamond\psi$ iff for all $n > 0$ and all successive points t_1, \dots, t_n interior to o , there is $o' \in \mathbb{D}_o(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ such that $\mathcal{M}, o' \models \psi$

Basic Examples

- $\emptyset \models p$ and $\emptyset \models \sim\phi$ and $\emptyset \models \Diamond\psi$.
- $o \models \sim\top$ iff $o = \emptyset$.

- $o \models \neg p$ iff p fails at some $t \in o$.
- $o \models \neg\sim p$ iff p holds at some $t \in o$.

- Distribution of \sim over \wedge fails:

$$\sim(\sim p \wedge \sim q) \not\equiv (p \vee q).$$

Proof.

Suppose all and only rational (irrational) points in $]1, 2]$ make p true (q true). Then $]1, 2] \models \sim(\sim p \wedge \sim q)$ but $]1, 2] \not\models (p \vee q)$. \square

Basic Examples

- $\emptyset \models p$ and $\emptyset \models \sim\phi$ and $\emptyset \models \Diamond\psi$.
- $o \models \sim\top$ iff $o = \emptyset$.
- $o \models \neg p$ iff p fails at some $t \in o$.
- $o \models \neg\sim p$ iff p holds at some $t \in o$.
- Distribution of \sim over \wedge fails:

$$\sim(\sim p \wedge \sim q) \not\equiv (p \vee q).$$

Proof.

Suppose all and only rational (irrational) points in $]1, 2]$ make p true (q true). Then $]1, 2] \models \sim(\sim p \wedge \sim q)$ but $]1, 2] \not\models (p \vee q)$. \square

Basic Examples

- $\emptyset \models p$ and $\emptyset \models \sim\phi$ and $\emptyset \models \Diamond\psi$.
- $o \models \sim\top$ iff $o = \emptyset$.
- $o \models \neg p$ iff p fails at some $t \in o$.
- $o \models \neg\sim p$ iff p holds at some $t \in o$.
- Distribution of \sim over \wedge fails:

$$\sim(\sim p \wedge \sim q) \not\equiv (p \vee q).$$

Proof.

Suppose all and only rational (irrational) points in $]1, 2]$ make p true (q true). Then $]1, 2] \models \sim(\sim p \wedge \sim q)$ but $]1, 2] \not\models (p \vee q)$. \square

Basic Examples, Cont.

- $o \models \sim\Box\phi$.
- $o \models \Box\neg p$ iff p fails at least twice during o .
- $o \models \Box\neg\sim p$ iff p holds at least twice during o .

Suppose $|o| \geq 2$.

- $o \models \Diamond\Box\neg p$ iff p fails infinitely often during o .

Basic Examples, Cont.

- $o \models \sim\Box\phi$.
- $o \models \Box\neg p$ iff p **fails at least twice** during o .
- $o \models \Box\neg\sim p$ iff p **holds at least twice** during o .

Suppose $|o| \geq 2$.

- $o \models \Diamond\Box\neg p$ iff p **fails infinitely often** during o .

Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Logic of Time Division
 - Syntax
 - Semantics
 - Basic examples
- 3 Expressive Power**
 - Examples
 - Negative Properties
 - Positive Properties
- 4 Fragments

Examples

- **Expressing infinity:** $o \models (\Box T \wedge \Diamond \Box T)$ iff $|o|$ is infinite.

- Varieties of indivisibility:

(a) $T = \mathbb{Q}$; $V(p)$ and $o \setminus V(p)$ are both dense in o .

$$]1, 2] \not\models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

(b) $T = \mathbb{Q}$; $V(p) = \{t : t^2 < 2\}$.

$$]1, 2] \not\models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

(c) $T = \mathbb{R}$; $V(p) = \{t : t^2 < 2\}$.

$$]1, 2] \models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

Examples

- **Expressing infinity:** $o \models (\Box T \wedge \Diamond \Box T)$ iff $|o|$ is infinite.

- **Varieties of indivisibility:**

(a) $T = \mathbb{Q}$; $V(p)$ and $o \setminus V(p)$ are both dense in o .

$$]1, 2] \not\models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

(b) $T = \mathbb{Q}$; $V(p) = \{t : t^2 < 2\}$.

$$]1, 2] \not\models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

(c) $T = \mathbb{R}$; $V(p) = \{t : t^2 < 2\}$.

$$]1, 2] \models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

Examples

- **Expressing infinity:** $o \models (\Box T \wedge \Diamond \Box T)$ iff $|o|$ is infinite.

- **Varieties of indivisibility:**

(a) $T = \mathbb{Q}$; $V(p)$ and $o \setminus V(p)$ are both dense in o .

$$]1, 2] \not\models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

(b) $T = \mathbb{Q}$; $V(p) = \{t : t^2 < 2\}$.

$$]1, 2] \not\models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

(c) $T = \mathbb{R}$; $V(p) = \{t : t^2 < 2\}$.

$$]1, 2] \models \Box(p \vee \sim p).$$

Negative Properties

Fact

\mathcal{L}_{TD} lacks the f.m.p.

Theorem

$\mathcal{L}_{TD} \not\leq \mathbf{FO}$.

Proof.

Let $n < \omega$ and consider models \mathfrak{M}_n and \mathfrak{M} :

$$\mathfrak{M}_n \quad 0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow 2^n$$

$$\mathfrak{M} \quad 0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow -m \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow -2 \longrightarrow -1$$

- $\mathfrak{M}_n, \mathfrak{M}$ are distinguished by $(\Box T \wedge \Diamond \Box T)$.
- Structures $\langle \mathfrak{M}_n, 0, 2^n \rangle$ and $\langle \mathfrak{M}, 0, -1 \rangle$ are not distinguished by any **FO** formula $\phi(x, y)$ of quantifier rank $\leq n$. □

Positive Properties

- $\mathcal{L}_{TD} \leq \mathcal{L}_w^{\text{mon}}$. Actually $\mathcal{L}_{TD} < \mathcal{L}_w^{\text{mon}}$.
- \mathcal{L}_{TD} has the downwards Löwenheim-Skolem property: any satisfiable formula is true in a *countable* (\mathcal{M}, o) .
- \mathcal{L}_{TD} is decidable (Läuchli 1968).

Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Logic of Time Division
 - Syntax
 - Semantics
 - Basic examples
- 3 Expressive Power
 - Examples
 - Negative Properties
 - Positive Properties
- 4 **Fragments**

Negation Normal Form

- **Level 0 formulas:**
 $p, \sim p$ and their \wedge, \vee combinations.
- **Base formulas:**
 $p, \sim p, \neg p, \neg \sim p$ as well as $\sim(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})$ and $\neg \sim(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})$,
where \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} are level 0 formulas.
- Write \mathcal{L}_{nnf} for the result of closing base formulas under
 $\wedge, \vee, \square, \diamond$.

Fact (Negation normal form)

$$\mathcal{L}_{TD} = \mathcal{L}_{nnf}.$$

Prenex formulas

- **Prenex formulas** (\mathcal{L}_{PR}):

$$(\Diamond\Box)^n\ell, (\Box\Diamond)^n\ell, \Box(\Diamond\Box)^n\ell, \Diamond(\Box\Diamond)^n\ell.$$

- Let $\mathcal{D}_{>1}$ be the set of all anchored models (\mathcal{M}, o) with a **dense** linear order and $|o| > 1$.

Theorem (Decidability of the \wedge, \vee closure of prenex formulas)

Let \mathcal{L}_{BPR} be the \wedge, \vee closure of \mathcal{L}_{PR} . Over $\mathcal{D}_{>1}$, the satisfiability and validity problems of \mathcal{L}_{BPR} are **NP**-complete.

Further fragments

- **Propositional fragment:**
Base formulas and their \wedge, \vee combinations.
- **Simple fragment:**
 $\mathbf{c}, \Box\mathbf{c}, \Diamond\mathbf{c}$ with \mathbf{c} propositional and their \wedge, \vee combinations.

Conclusion

- Studying **fragments** of \mathcal{L}_{TD} useful for better understanding \mathcal{L}_{TD} itself (e.g., complexity-wise).
- **Interval Temporal Logic** and **Duration Calculus**.
- For future:
 - \mathcal{L}_{TD} on finite models (word models)
 - proof theory
 - relation to logics of trees with *yield* operator
 - varying the semantics of \square , e.g. by allowing an infinite set of divisors given that their induced order is discrete.